

Greenbaum Rowe  
Smith & Davis LLP

COUNSELORS AT LAW

METRO CORPORATE CAMPUS ONE

P.O. BOX 5600

WOODBIDGE, NJ 07095-0988

(732) 549-5600 FAX (732) 549-1881

DELIVERY ADDRESS: 99 WOOD AVENUE SOUTH, ISELIN, NJ 08830-2712

INFO@GREENBAUMLAW.COM

WWW.GREENBAUMLAW.COM

ROSELAND OFFICE:  
75 LIVINGSTON AVENUE  
SUITE 301  
ROSELAND, NJ 07068-3701  
(973) 535-1600  
FAX (973) 535-1698

NEW YORK OFFICE:  
750 THIRD AVENUE  
9TH FLOOR  
NEW YORK, NY 10017  
(212) 847-9858

ALAN S. NAAR  
(732) 476-2530 - DIRECT DIAL  
(732) 476-2531 - DIRECT FAX  
ANAAR@GREENBAUMLAW.COM

October 31, 2017

Via ECF

Hon. Madeline Cox Arleo, U.S.D.J.  
U.S. District Court  
M. L. King, Jr. Federal Building & Courthouse  
50 Walnut St., Room 2A  
Newark, NJ 07102

**Re: Roofers' Pension Fund v. Papa and Perrigo Company PLC**  
**Civil Action No. 2:16-cv-2805 (MCA)(LDW)**

Dear Judge Arleo:

This firm, together with Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson LLP, represents defendant Perrigo Company plc ("Perrigo") in the above-referenced matter.

On November 6, 2017, Perrigo and 10 of the 11 individual defendants will be filing one set of reply papers in further support of their motion to dismiss the amended complaint.<sup>1</sup> We write to seek the Court's approval of an extension of the page limit for the reply brief.

In August 2017, when Perrigo and the same 10 individual defendants filed their motion to dismiss, they sought an extension of the page limit for opening briefs from 30 to 60 pages in 12-point proportional font. Lead Plaintiff did not oppose the request. In September 2017, the Lead Plaintiff sought an extension from 30 pages to a total page length not to exceed 65 pages for its opposition brief. None of the defendants opposed that request. Your Honor granted both extension requests.

Under Local Civil Rule 7.2(b)-(d), reply briefs written in 12-point proportional font are limited to 11.25 pages in length. We respectfully request an extension of the page limit for the

---

<sup>1</sup> Individual defendant Marc Coucke filed his own motion to dismiss and will be filing his own reply papers.

Greenbaum Rowe  
Smith & Davis LLP

Hon. Madeline Cox Arleo, U.S.D.J.  
October 31, 2017  
Page 2


reply brief from 11.25 to 25 pages (based upon a submission in 12-point proportional font). We request this extension of the page limit because of the need to address the arguments in Lead Plaintiff's 63 page opposition brief.

Lead Plaintiff has advised that it does not oppose this request.

Accordingly, we respectfully request that the Court consent to the modification of the page limit for the aforesaid defendants' reply brief in further support of their motion to dismiss.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Respectfully yours,




Alan S. Naar

ASN:cd

cc: All counsel of record (*via ecf*)

SO ORDERED:



---

Hon. Madeline Cox Arleo  
United States District Judge